

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
WESTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL P. and SHELLIE GILMOR,      )  
et al.,                                  )  
    )  
Plaintiffs,                            )  
    )  
vs.                                     )      Case No. 10-0189-CV-W-ODS  
    )  
PREFERRED CREDIT CORP., et al.,    )  
    )  
Defendants.                            )

ORDER DEFERRING CONSIDERATION OF PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST TO EXTEND  
DEPOSITIONS

At Judge Smith's request, and pursuant to Local Rule 83.9, the undersigned is handling a discovery dispute in this case. At issue is the length of depositions of two witnesses designated by the IMPAC Defendants pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6).

A ruling at this time is premature, and perhaps unnecessary. The parties acknowledge there are a great many matters to be addressed in the depositions. Some of these matters will be addressed by one of the designated witnesses, while other matters will be addressed by the other witness. The standard seven hours per person may not be sufficient – but then again, it may be. It may be necessary to divide the time differently (for instance, deposing one for ten hours and the other for four hours). It may be necessary to extend the total time of the deposition – but even if it is necessary, the Court does not know what would be appropriate relief. The Court also senses the parties' relationship is such that they will likely be able to amicably resolve any difficulties.

To facilitate matters, the Court declares that neither party shall declare that a deposition will continue beyond a certain time or end at a certain time absent agreement of the parties. If a disagreement arises, the parties shall contact Judge Smith's chambers to obtain a judicial resolution.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Greg Kays  
GREG KAYS, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DATE: October 31, 2011